Case 5:07-cv-02599-JF Document 14 Filed 07/06/2007 Page 1 of 2 CHARLES J. McKEE (SBN 152458) County Counsel TRAĆI A. KIRKBRIDE (SBN 195690) Deputy County Counsel Office of the County Counsel County of Monterey 168 West Alisal Street, 3rd Floor Salinas, California 93901-2680 Telephone: (831) 755-5045 Facsimile: (831) 755-5283 Email: kirkbrideta@co.monterey.ca.us Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF MONTEREY and MICHAEL KANALAKIS IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA JIMMY HAWS, SETH DANIEL HAWS, Case No. C 07-02599 JF and MIA SKYE HAWS, minors, by and through their guardian ad litem, CARRIE A. REPLY BY DEFENDANTS COUNTY OF HAWS, and CARRIE A. HAWS, MONTEREY AND MICHAEL KANALAKIS TO PLAINTIFFS' individually, OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS Plaintiffs, PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT WITH **PREJUDICE** v. COUNTY OF MONTEREY, MICHAEL KANALAKIS. NATIVIDAD MEDICAL Date: July 20, 2007 CENTER and DOES 1-300, inclusive, Time: 9:00 AM 3. 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Ctrm.: Hon. Jeremy Fogel Defendants. Judge: Action Filed: May 16, 2007 1. **STANDING** Concerning the alleged loss of consortium claims by Plaintiffs, it should be noted that the situation here in the present case is not like the circumstances in the cases cited by Plaintiffs in their opposition in that here, we are not dealing with a deceased individual (Smith v. City of Fontana, 818)

Concerning the alleged loss of consortium claims by Plaintiffs, it should be noted that the situation here in the present case is not like the circumstances in the cases cited by Plaintiffs in their opposition in that here, we are not dealing with a deceased individual (*Smith v. City of Fontana*, 818 F.2d 1411 (9th Cir. 1987) (overruled in part by *Hodgers-Durgin v. De La Vina*, 199 F.3d 1037 (9th Cir. 1999)) or one who has been deported to another country (*Morrison v. Jones*, 607 F.2d 1269 (9th Cir. 1979). Therefore, these cases are not on point with the instant case.

## 2. CONCESSIONS BY PLAINTIFFS

Given the multiple concessions by Plaintiffs in their Opposition (Plaintiffs' Opposition,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

page 5, line 18; page 8, line 5; page 13, line 2), Defendants' Motion to Dismiss should be granted. 1 2 3. **CONCLUSION** 3 These moving Defendants stand on their Points and Authorities previously filed in support of their Motion to Dismiss the complaint in this matter. Defendants respectfully request that the Court 4 5 grant their motion. 6 7 DATED: July 6, 2007. Respectfully submitted, 8 CHARLES J. McKEE, County Counsel 9 10 By /S/ Traci A. Kirkbride TRACI A. KIRKBRIDE, Deputy County Counsel 11 12 Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF MONTEREY and MICHAEL KANALAKIS 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28